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Some Success Stories

- What can “brown” do for you? – How SLP-ABA collaboration got started at HFHS

- Additional case studies
Child with ASD & CAS
(Joint attention, Imitation, Motivation, Smooth transitions)
Child with ASD

(Language structure task, Motivation, Cooperation / Tolerance during non-referred task)
Child with ASD & CAS

(Joint attention & effort, even during difficult task)
Problem behavior IS communication

- Many studies in Behavior Analytic Journals have indicated that problem behavior is frequently a communication strategy.

- Reinforcement of appropriate communication, in combination with functional communication training results in the reduction of problem behavior as a communication strategy.

- Modifying reinforcement contingencies around problem behavior and more appropriate communication strategies often results in reduction of problem behaviors.
Problem behavior IS communication seminal research

- Carr & Durand 1985
- N = 4 children
  - Engage in at least 1 problem behavior/hour
  - 2 male and 2 female between the ages of 7 & 14
  - All had expressive language of minimally 1 word utterances
- Experiment consisted of 2 distinct phases
  - 1. Easy 100/33 vs. difficult 100
  - 2. Relevant and irrelevant responding
Problem behavior IS communication

seminal research

■ Carr & Durand 1985

■ Easy 100/33 vs. Difficult 100
  – Using an ABAB experimental design, the experimenters were able to show that for these children, variations in the difficulty of tasks or amount of adult attention provided could directly influence frequency of problem behavior.

■ Relevant vs. Irrelevant responding
  – Easy 33 examiner question every 30 seconds: "Do you have any questions?"
    ■ Relevant responding: "Am I doing good work?" --&gt; provides praise & next command
    ■ Irrelevant responding: "I don’t understand" --&gt; provides assistance & next command
  – Difficult 100 examiner response to incorrect: "That's not correct, do you have any questions?"
    ■ Relevant responding: "I don’t understand" --&gt; provides assistance & next command
    ■ Irrelevant responding: "Am I doing good work?" --&gt; Provides praise & next command
Problem behavior IS communication seminal research
Problem behavior IS communication
seminal research
Problem behavior IS communication

seminal research

- Carr & Durand, 1985
- What can we take from this research?
  1. In the first phase, the experimentors find that problem behavior increases under specific conditions related to the likely MO of the client.
  2. In the second phase, the experimenters find that problem behavior can be replaced with a relevant communicative response.
- "“Once it has been determined that a behavior problem likely serves a specific social function (e.g. escape or social function), one is in a position to consider appropriate replacement behaviors.”
Problem behavior IS communication seminal research

- Hagopian et. al, 1998
- N = 21 children aged 2 – 16 years old.
  - Children had a variety of problem behaviors including aggression, self-injury, property destruction, PICA, elopement, disruption.
  - Engagement in these behaviors varied in maintaining function (e.g. attention, access, escape, automatic)
- Researchers looking into effectivness of functional communication training alone vs. Functional communication training in combination with other behavioral interventions.
Problem behavior IS communication seminal research

- Hagopian et. al, 1998
- Using functional analysis technology developed by Iwata et.al, a maintaining function was defined for each behavior targeted for reduction.
- Researchers looking into effectiveness of functional communication training alone vs. Functional communication training in combination with other behavioral interventions.
- Is functional communication training alone as effective alone or when used collaboratively with extinction and/or punishment?
Problem behavior IS communication
seminal research

- Hagopian et. al, 1998
- At the time of this research, functional communication training widely accepted as an effective therapy option.
- Baseline contingency: functional analysis contingency matches the problem behavior (e.g. For aggression maintained by escape, escape provided contingent upon problem behavior)
- Experimental contingencies
  - Functional communication training without extinction
  - Functional communication training with extinction
  - Functional communication training with punishment
Problem behavior IS communication seminal research

- Hagopian et. al, 1998
- Functional communication training without extinction
  - Conducted in 11 cases. A 90% reduction in behavior was not achieved
  - An average increase in problem behavior frequency of 17% was observed across these 11 cases.
  - 3 cases had increase of over 50% in problem behavior frequency
  - Due to lack of success, the final 10 cases did not receive functional communication training in isolation
- Functional communication training with extinction
  - An average increase in problem behavior frequency of 17% was observed
  - Highest decrease 99.5%
- Functional communication training with punishment
  - Problem behavior reduce by at least 90% in all cases in which this model was provided.
## Table 3

Percentage Reduction in Problem Behavior During the Final Application of Each FCT Intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>FCT</th>
<th>FCT + extinction</th>
<th>FCT + extinction and fading</th>
<th>FCT + punishment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>44.19</td>
<td>95.35</td>
<td>53.49</td>
<td>92.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>—101.91</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>—32.77</td>
<td>—2.52</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>99.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>76.81</td>
<td>91.88</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>87.30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>24.81</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>95.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>22.08</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>94.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>43.22</td>
<td>98.31</td>
<td>97.46</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>—262.51</td>
<td>88.01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>99.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>55.66</td>
<td>82.08</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>61.12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>96.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>36.41</td>
<td>—19.53</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—46.24</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>52.76</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>96.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>86.92</td>
<td>84.50</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>97.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Tangible</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92.67</td>
<td>98.27</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>96.88</td>
<td>97.98</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>94.44</td>
<td>87.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>99.51</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>99.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>97.67</td>
<td>29.53</td>
<td>98.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>99.04</td>
<td>95.92</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>99.04</td>
<td>99.52</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tangible</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>159.61</td>
<td>77.25</td>
<td>39.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>96.84</td>
<td>86.52</td>
<td>99.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>56.52</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>98.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Tangible</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>75.96</td>
<td>18.27</td>
<td>99.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Demand or delay-to-reinforcement fading was conducted during FCT with punishment.

Note: Figures represent percentage reduction from baseline using the last 5 treatment points (except Case 17; see text); negative numbers represent an increase in problem behavior relative to baseline; dashes indicate that a particular FCT intervention was not attempted.
Problem behavior IS communication
seminal research

- Hagopian et. al, 1998
- What can we take from this research?
  - Functional communication training may not be effective for this population in isolation.
  - Functional communication training may not be effective for individuals who engage in severe problem/interfering behaviors.
  - Functional communication training was vastly more effective for these study participants when utilized collaboratively with other behavioral interventions.
Imagine how much more you could teach them if...

- Child participated in a wider variety of work tasks
- Child came to table when time for work
- Child put away preferred toy when time for work
- Child told you what he/she wanted to “work for” that day
- Child with ASD could work on same types of language tasks as delayed peers without ASD
- Child could tolerate non-preferred activities without hitting, kicking, biting, etc
ABA Basics
Cooper, Heron, Heward (2014)

- **Reinforcement**: ANY stimulus which follow a behavior which INCREASES the future likelihood of this behavior.
- **Punishment**: ANY stimulus which follow a behavior which DECREASES the future likelihood of this behavior.
  - It is essential to recognize that reinforcement and punishment are subjective.
  - If the trend in behavior is not increasing WE ARE NOT PROVIDING REINFORCEMENT
  - If the trend in behavior is not decreasing WE ARE NOT PROVIDING PUNISHMENT
What is a behavior? (the officially recognized definition)

**Behavior:** "That portion of an organisms interaction with its enviroment that is characterized by detectable displacement in space through time of some part of the organism and that results in measurable change in at least one aspect of the environment" (Johnston and Pennypacker, 1993)
ABA Basics

- **The 3-term Contingency**: The basic unit of behavioral analysis. This unit encompasses the temporal and environmental contingencies which influence a behavior.
  - Antecedent: The environmental condition or stimulus change existing prior to a behavior of interest.
  - Behavior: A definable, measurable interaction between an organism and its environment.
  - Consequence: The stimulus change which follows a behavior.
ABA Basics

4 primary functions of behavior (which consequence type is likely maintaining this behavior)

- **Access to stimuli/activities**
  - Kid says "I want cookie"
  - Kid steals a toy from his speech patologist's office

- **Escape from aversive stimuli/environments**
  - Taking a tylenol to relieve a headache
  - Flipping the table in my speech session

- **Access to social engagement**
  - Saying "Hello, how are you?"
  - Spitting on the floor of my speech pathologist's office because mom yells at me

- **Automatic**
  - Scratching a mosquito bite
  - Pressing the same icon on my speech generating device without an external stimulus being presented.
ABA Basics

Motivation is key!!

- **Motivating Operation (MO):** An antecedent condition which alters the value of a consequence; either a reinforcer or a punisher.

- **Establishing Operation (EO):** An antecedent condition which INCREASES the value of a consequence

- **Abolishing Operation (AO):** An antecedent condition which DECREASES the value of a consequence
Motivation is key!!

- **M0s are value altering**

- **EO:**
  - Feeling thirsty: increases the value of water
  - Feeling tired: increases the value of a bed
  - Being late to work: increases the value sitting at your desk

- **AO:**
  - Drinking water: decreases the value of water
  - Waking after a restful sleep: decreases the value of a bed
  - Being on time to work: decreases the value of sitting at your desk
ABA Basics

Motivation is key!!

- In addition, MOs have a behavior altering effect
- **EO:**
  - Feeling thirsty: You are MORE likely to engage in behavior which has resulted in access to water in the past (pouring water in to a cup, asking for water)
  - Feeling tired: You are MORE likely to go to your room and lie in your bed
  - Being late to work: You are MORE likely to speed and drive erratically
- **AO:**
  - Drinking water: You are LESS likely to engage in behavior which has resulted in access to water in the past (pouring water in to a cup, asking for water)
  - Waking after a restful sleep: You are LESS likely to go to your room and lie in your bed
  - Being on time to work: You are LESS likely to speed and drive erratically
ABA Basics

Motivation is key!!

- **Satiation**: A decrease in the frequency of an operant behavior presumed to be the result of continued contact with or consumption of a reinforcer that has followed a behavior.
  - Related to AO

- **Deprivation**: The state of an organism with respect to how much time has elapsed since it last consumed or contacted a particular type of reinforcer.
  - Related to EO
ABA Basics

Motivation is key!!

- Change the behavior by changing environment.
- Create EOs for engagement
  - Put preferred toys in sight, but out of reach.
  - Keep special toys/games/snacks in your office. Tell parents not to provide those stimuli at home.
  - Be FUN!! Follow the child's motivation to or away from specific stimuli/activities.
- Avoid AOs for engagement
  - Provide brief access to preferred stimuli in order to avoid satiation.
  - Use visual schedules, timers, and token boards.
  - Be flexible. Try not to force a child to engage with stimuli they show no interest in.
ABA’s influence on my speech sessions: A little knowledge goes a long way

- Understanding the functions of behavior
- Manipulating motivation
- Skill versus performance
- Ignoring inappropriate behavior
- Higher expectations
- Understanding limitations
- Implementation of behavioral supports (visual schedules, etc)
Young child with undiagnosed ASD
(Awareness of required task, Completion of non-preferred task at specific time, Use of motivation)
A few missing pieces...

Early pre-requisites for advanced communication

Child must be able to...

- *Get what they want (objects & attention & escape)*
- *Recognize that good things happen when he/she attends to others*
- *Give an intentional response*
- *Tolerate receiving & relinquishing items*
Benefits of speech therapy in ABA programming

- Age appropriate vocal-verbal shaping targets.
- Modification of my programs to meet age appropriate targets for speech/communication.
- Development of programming which may lead to appropriate vocal-verbal goals.
- A better understanding of the development of speech leads to higher expectations for my clients.
- Prioritizing specific goals over others leads to faster growth in communicative repertoire.
- Interaction with a speech pathologist offers my therapists a broader view of language development and increase their skill set.
Collaboration 101

- Many similarities
  - Shared desired outcomes
  - Use of preferred toys and objects
  - Combined use of structured tasks & “teachable moments”
  - Shared behavior management techniques

- Differences are often complementary
  - Management of problem behaviors
  - Wide variety of skills targeted
  - Repeated practice with very specific skills
  - High frequency & repetition of tasks
  - Intensity of intervention
Their differences are complementary.
Collaboration 101

- Collaboration at HFHS

- Tools for success
  - Mutual respect / Belief in value of both disciplines
  - Flexibility
  - Open communication
  - Tolerance of differences / Willingness to “try it another way”
  - Shared desired outcome

Goal = Increased independence in functional communication and daily living skills.
TEAMWORK
Large ambitious goals usually require that people work together.
ONE DAY

YOU WILL REACH THE HANDLE, AND WE CAN BOTH GO OUT TO PEE
Utilizing Motivation

- It’s why we do anything
- Can drastically alter performance... for better or worse
- Often overlooked in pediatric speech therapy training
- Can be used to our advantage
  - Find those toys/activities that the child HAS to have and make it the currency of the day.
Some Days It’s Hard To Find Motivation

Some Days Motivation Finds You!!
MOTIVATION
It's not that I'm lazy, it's that I just don't care.
Working With Parents

■ Speech Therapy:
  - *Parents may or may not be present depending on session.*
  - *Interaction / collaboration with parent varies based on setting / situation.*

■ ABA:
  - *Parents not present in therapy room setting.*
  - *Parent presence alters therapist’s ability to teach certain behaviors*
  - *Regular caregiver training sessions delivered by BCBA instead*
“I don’t know about you, but they didn’t issue me a magic wand in graduate school and my magic ball was dropped and busted by my own kids!!! As an SLP, we can have an incredible impact on our students / clients, but we are so much stronger when we work as a team.”

–SLP Shannon Archer on her A GIFT OF SPEECH blog (bit.ly/gift-of-speech)
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QUESTIONS???

Christy Schweitzer: cschwei1@hfhs.org
Stuart Segal: ssegal2@hfhs.org